



Empirical and Quantitative Competency Outcome: Palo Alto College students apply scientific and mathematical concepts to analyze and solve problems

Specific Outcomes	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Average (3)	Marginal (2)	Poor (1)+	NP
Identification – The extent to which the understanding of the nature of the inquiry and the desired outcome(s) of analysis is indicated. Identification clearly pinpoints what information is being sought and what kind of analysis is required.	The purpose, components, and variables of the investigation/project are clearly identified .	The purpose, components, and variables of the investigation/ project are identified .	The purpose, components, and variables of the investigation/project are mostly identified .	The purpose, components, and variables of the investigation/project are somewhat identified .	The purpose, components, and variables of the investigation/project are not identified .	Outcome not present in artifact
Assimilation – The extent to which the information required for analysis is assimilated and identified. Assimilation reflects whether all necessary information is presented and used, whether the organization is logical, and whether any outside information should be integrated into the current assignment.	The information that is required for an analysis of all investigative components is clearly evident . If applicable, values are correctly translated into variables and all necessary formulas are present	The information that is required for an analysis of all investigative components is evident . If applicable, most values are correctly translated into variables and necessary formulas are present	The information that is required for an analysis of all investigative components is mostly evident . If applicable, some values are correctly translated into variables and most necessary formulas are present	The information that is required for an analysis of all investigative components is somewhat evident . If applicable, values are incorrectly translated into variables and some necessary formulas are present	The information that is required for an analysis of all investigative components is not evident . If applicable, values are incorrectly translated into variables and no necessary formulas are present	Outcome not present in artifact
Analysis – The relevance of the steps taken toward achieving the desired outcomes, the logic and clarity within the presented methods, and the	All investigative or quantitative components are methodically scrutinized. The steps followed are logical	All investigative or quantitative components are scrutinized. The steps followed are logical and relevant to the	All investigative or quantitative components are somewhat scrutinized . The steps followed are	Some investigative or quantitative components are scrutinized. Some steps followed are somewhat logical	Most investigative or quantitative components are not scrutinized . The steps followed are illogical and/or	Outcome not present in artifact



Empirical and Quantitative Competency Outcome: Palo Alto College students apply scientific and mathematical concepts to analyze and solve problems

consistency and accuracy of the presented information.	and relevant to the desired result. The proper tools/technology were used and well integrated into the final product. Any notation is consistent and well defined.	desired result. The proper tools/technology were used and mostly integrated into the final product. Any notation is consistent and well defined.	mostly logical and relevant to the desired result. The proper tools/technology were mostly used and somewhat integrated into the final product. Any notation is mostly consistent and well defined.	and relevant to the desired result. The proper tools/technology was somewhat used , but not integrated into the final product. Any notation is somewhat consistent but not defined .	irrelevant to the desired result. The proper tools/technology were not used and/or integrated into the final product. Any notation is not consistent and not defined .	
Presentation – The point at which a clear conclusion and/or supplemental materials (e.g. graphs, pictures, etc.) are presented	A concise summary of the analysis is presented. The presented information is correct, of high quality , and the terminology/figures are accurate and easy to understand. All visual representations of evidence are well-scaled and well represent the analysis findings.	A good summary of the analysis is presented. The presented information is correct, of good quality , and the terminology/figures are accurate and easy to understand. Most visual representations of evidence are well-scaled and/or well represent the analysis findings.	A summary of the analysis is presented. The presented information is mostly correct, of good quality , and the terminology/figures are mostly accurate and easy to understand. Most visual representations of evidence are acceptably scaled and represent the analysis findings.	A partial summary of the analysis is presented. The presented information is somewhat correct, of adequate quality , and the terminology/figures are somewhat accurate and relatively easy to understand. Some visual representations of evidence are acceptably scaled and represent the analysis findings.	A summary of the analysis is either inadequately presented or not presented at all. The presented information is mostly incorrect , and/or of poor quality , and/or the terminology/figures are inaccurate and/or hard to understand . Few or no visual representations of evidence are acceptable scaled or represent the analysis findings.	Outcome not present in artifact
Application – The extent to which the	The coherent integration of all	The coherent integration of all	The coherent integration of most	The integration of most steps of the	The integration does not include all steps of	Outcome not



ALAMO
COLLEGES

PALO ALTO COLLEGE

Empirical and Quantitative Competency Outcome: Palo Alto College students apply scientific and mathematical concepts to analyze and solve problems

results of analysis are applied to answer or address the hypothesis or problem.	steps of the investigation lead to an accurate, complete, relevant conclusion that is relative to the initial investigative statement.	steps of the investigation lead to an accurate, mostly complete, relevant conclusion that is relative to the initial investigative statement.	steps of the investigation lead to an accurate, mostly complete , acceptable conclusion that is relative to the initial investigative statement.	investigation lead to a somewhat accurate, partially complete conclusion that is relative to the initial investigative statement.	the investigation and does not lead to an accurate, nor complete conclusion that relates to the initial investigative argument.	present in artifact
---	---	---	--	--	--	---------------------