

Program Student Learning Assessment Plan/Report Academic Year <u>2010-11</u>

Program/Award: Industrial Automation Assistant

Program Lead Faculty: Leo Diaz Department Chair: Dean Shelman

Outcome #1	Identify fluid power symbols; demonstrate knowledge of basic fluid power theory; demonstrate
	knowledge of component operation; generate basic fluid power circuits; and demonstrate fluid power circuits using electrical and manual controls.
Measures	The final exam in ELMT 1305 Basic Fluid Power Course
Targets	70% of the students will get a D or better on the ELMT 1305 Basic Fluid Power final exam.
Findings	Spring 2008: 6 students took the exam and 6 students passed for 100% pass rate.
	Spring 2009: 8 students took the exam and 7 students passed for 87.5% pass rate. Fall 2010: 4 students took the exam and 4 students passed for 100% pass rate.
Assessment	Create a test blue print for the final
of Previous Cycle's Action Plan	Offer the course on a rotating semester basis for larger class sizes and better interaction among the students. Increase hands-on activity.
New Action Plans	Offer in Spring 2011
Outcome #2	Develop existing electromechanical systems to meet specific performance criteria; troubleshoot electromechanical systems; and compile documentation to meet industrial standards.
Measures	The final exam in the ELMT 2341 Electromechanical Systems Course.
Targets	70 % of the students will achieve a C or better on the ELMT 2341 Electromechanical Systems final.
Findings	Fall 2007: 9 students took the exam and 4 students passed for 44.4% pass rate.
	Spring 2009: 3 students took the exam and 3 students passed for 100% pass rate.
	Spring 2010: 4 students took the exam and 4 students passed for 100% pass rate.
Assessment	Develop a test blue print – In process
of Previous Cycle's	Offer the course on a rotating semester basis for larger class sizes and better interaction among the students. - Continue
Action Plan	Increase hands-on activity Continue
	Revise course to reduce the number of lab exercises so students can focus on quality. — In
	Explore additional avenues for enhancing course. Continue
New Action	Continue to offer the course on a rotating semester basis for larger class sizes and better
Plans	interaction among the students.
	Continue to increase hands-on activity.
	Continue to revise course to reduce the number of lab exercises so students can focus on



Program Student Learning Assessment Plan/Report

Frogram Student Learning Assessment Flan / Neport		
	quality. Explore additional avenues for enhancing course.	
	Explore duditional avenues for enhancing course.	
Outcome #3	Develop ladder logic to utilize advanced PLC functions; compose a ladder logic program to	
	demonstrate an advanced industrial control application; apply advanced programming	
	techniques for specialized applications.	
Measures	The final exam in the ELMT 2339 Advanced Programmable Logic Controllers Course.	
Targets	70 % of the students will achieve a C or better on the ELMT 2339 Advanced Programmable Logic	
laigets	Controllers final.	
Findings	Spring 2007: 7 students took the exam and 5 students passed for 71.4% pass rate.	
	Spring 2009: students took the exam and 7 students passed for 100% pass rate.	
	Spring 2010: 4 students took the exam and 4 students passed for 100% pass rate	
Assessment	Develop a test blue print – In process	
of Previous	Offer the course on a rotating semester basis for larger class sizes and better interaction among	
Cycle's	the students Continue	
Action Plan	Increase hands-on activity Continue	
	Revise course to reduce the number of lab exercises so students can focus on quality. – In	
	process.	
	Explore additional avenues for enhancing course. Continue	
New Action	Continue to offer the course on a rotating semester basis for larger class sizes and better	
Plans	interaction among the students.	
	Continue to increase hands-on activity.	
	Continue to revise course to reduce the number of lab exercises so students can focus on	
	quality.	
	Explore additional avenues for enhancing course.	